Surveillance should be strengthened to improve epidemiological understandings of mosquito-borne Barmah Forest virus infection
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Introduction: Barmah Forest virus (BFV) is a mosquito-borne virus causing epidemic polyarthritis in Australia. This study used case follow-up of cases from the surveillance system to demonstrate that routinely collected BFV notification data were an unreliable indicator of the true location of exposure.

Methods: BFV notifications from June 2001 to May 2011 were extracted from the New South Wales (NSW) Notifiable Conditions Information Management System to study case distribution. Disease cluster analysis was performed using spatial scan statistics. Exposure history data were collected from cases notified in 2010 and 2011 to accurately determine travel to high-risk areas.

Results: Cluster analysis using address data identified an area of increased BFV disease incidence in the mid-north coast of NSW contiguous with estuarine wetlands. When travel to this area was investigated, 96.7% (29/30) cases reported having visited coastal regions within four weeks of developing symptoms.

Discussion: Along the central NSW coastline, extensive wetlands occur in close proximity to populated areas. These wetlands provide ideal breeding habitats for a range of mosquito species implicated in the transmission of BFV. This is the first study to fully assess case exposure with findings suggesting that sporadic cases of BFV in people living further away from the coast do not reflect alternative exposure sites but are likely to result from travel to coastal regions. Spatial analysis by case address alone may lead to inaccurate understandings of the true distribution of arboviral diseases. Subsequently, this information has important implications for the collection of mosquito-borne disease surveillance information and public health response strategies.

Mosquito-borne diseases are a growing concern in Australia, and an understanding of the spatial distribution of infection is required to refine surveillance strategies and public health interventions. Barmah Forest virus (BFV) disease is an arboviral disease endemic to Australia. The virus was isolated from mosquitoes in 1974 and the first human cases were reported in 1986. The incubation period of BFV is probably seven to 10 days and symptoms of disease include rash, fever, arthralgia, myalgia and lethargy. BFV is a common cause of epidemic polyarthritis in Australia and carries important morbidity and economic impacts. It affects both genders and people of all ages. There is no specific treatment or vaccine available.

New South Wales (NSW) uses a notifiable diseases register to record data on 57 communicable diseases and medical conditions. Case demographics are entered into an electronic database at sites across the state when standardized clinical and laboratory case definitions are met. For the majority of notifiable conditions, including BFV disease, the case definition is based on a suggestive clinical picture and confirmatory laboratory findings. It is mandatory for laboratories to report notifiable disease detections and convey available patient information to public health authorities. Routinely collected data include the patient’s name, date of birth, sex, residential address and suspected date of disease onset. Owing to the large number of disease notifications and the nature of collection, data quality and completeness is adequate for monitoring disease trends but may be insufficient to allow detailed analysis of risk and exposure. To obtain this information for BFV disease it was necessary to conduct case follow-up interviews.
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Seasonal BFV notifications in the Hunter New England Local Health District (HNELHD) of NSW (Figure 1) ranged from 60 to 173 between 2001 and 2011, with an average of 112 reports each season (incidence rate: 13.2 per 100 000).8,9

There is some uncertainty regarding the natural reservoirs of BFV in Australia. Although low levels of neutralizing antibodies have been detected in kangaroos, wallabies, possums, horses, cats and dogs after experimental infection, the detected viraemia is considered too low for an insect vector to acquire the virus.10,11 The genetic similarity of BFV strains across Australia, as well as the pace in which they spread, suggests an avian or bat host.12

There is a diverse range of mosquito species confirmed as vectors of BFV. The majority of important vector species, such as *Aedes vigilax*, *Aedes procax*, *Aedes camptorhynchus* and *Verrallina funerea*, are associated with either coastal estuarine wetlands (i.e. saltmarsh and mangrove habitats) or brackish water environments (i.e. tea-tree and paperbark swamps).13–15 However, some species associated with freshwater habitats and urban environments, such as *Aedes notoscriptus*, *Culex annulirostris* and *Coquillettidia linealis*, may also be involved.13,16,17 Given the diversity of potential vector species and differences in the environmental drivers of mosquito population abundance within the different habitats, it can be difficult to assess the regional health risks posed by BFV.

Although BFV disease has been documented in every state and territory of Australia, notifications occur predominantly on the Australian east coast, with sporadic inland cases.18,19 However, due to the limited knowledge of BFV’s natural reservoir and a geographic distribution determined solely by the residential addresses of confirmed cases, the true distribution of the virus and thus the areas of risk are not definitively understood.

In this paper we aim to determine the likely risk exposure areas for BFV infection in the HNELHD of NSW, Australia by conducting structured interviews with all notified cases from June 2010 to May 2011 and to compare these identified risk exposure areas with those identified as having elevated standardized incidence rates when using routinely collected notification data from 2001 to 2010.

**METHODS**

**Data acquisition**

Notification data consisting of primary demographic information were extracted from the NSW Notifiable Conditions Information Management System for the period June 2001 to May 2011 and analysed using...
RESULTS

Spatial analysis of notifications from 2001 to 2011

Using routine notification data for the 10-year period, and applying 95% confidence intervals, there were statistically increased SIRs in three LGAs: Port Stephens, Great Lakes and Greater Taree, all of which contain large coastal lakes (Figures 2 and 3).

The spatial analysis performed with SaTScan detected one significant cluster of notified BFV cases (p-value < 0.01). This cluster, with a radius of 109 km, spanned an area of 37 277 km² and covered the LGAs of Greater Taree, Gloucester, Great Lakes, Walcha, Dungog and Port Stephens. SaTScan calculated the 10-year average as 61.0 annual notifications, compared to only 21.2 expected. This cluster showed a relative risk of 5.0, which means that people living in this area are five times as likely as the average population to contract BFV.

Case follow-up

Between June 2010 and May 2011, 62 BFV disease notifications were received for HNELHD. One case was accidentally reported twice. Contact details were available for 45 cases. The interviews revealed that two patients did not meet the case definition and were excluded. Ten cases could not be contacted and three refused to be interviewed. Thirty confirmed cases were interviewed, a 70% response rate (30/43).

Location of exposure

Travel history obtained from respondents indicated 96.7% (29/30) either lived in coastal LGAs (n = 22) or conducted outdoor activities in these areas during the four weeks before disease onset (n = 7). From those living in non-coastal areas, 87.5% (7/8) reported having been exposed to mosquitoes in coastal LGAs. The remaining case was unable to provide a connection with the coastal areas during the incubation period but admitted poor travel history recall.

DISCUSSION

In keeping with the indication from 10 years of routine notification data, the majority of BFV disease cases of
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Studies that concentrate on the spatial allocation of notified cases using residential address data to plot incidence may misrepresent the true location of exposure and lead to an inaccurate understanding of the disease distribution and epidemiology. This may be particularly relevant to mosquito-borne diseases and other diseases that have vectors or intermediate hosts.

The results of this study have implications for future surveillance strategies and the communication of public health messages. The detection of abundant mosquito populations and/or the isolation of BFV (as well as other mosquito-borne pathogens) from collected specimens may trigger public health warnings promoting personal protection measures. This study suggests that these messages should be broadcast more widely than just to the coastal population, particularly during holiday periods when recreational travel to the coast may increase.

Serological diagnosis of BFV is subject to certain limitations including high false-positive rates and the need to confirm recent infection through collection of two serum specimens to demonstrate seroconversion. 

![Graph showing standardized incidence ratios of Barmah Forest virus per 100,000 population by local governmental areas, with 95% confidence interval ranges, Hunter New England local health district, 2001–2011.](image)

* Areas with statistically significantly increased incidence rates.
but assuming that the home address approximates the site of exposure is fraught with problems. For diseases with an environmental association like mosquito-borne diseases, it may provide a false impression of the risk areas and epidemiology.

Telephone interviews confirmed that almost all recently notified BFV cases occurred in close proximity to estuarine wetlands and other coastal brackish water habitats, which are increasingly being favoured for housing development.

We suggest a revision of the arboviral surveillance system in Australia to include collection of information on travel history and risk exposure. Spatial surveillance that considers exposure location, as used in this study, may be of particular value for other vectorborne diseases where accurate information regarding viral transmission is lacking. Understanding the spatial patterns of infection rather than assuming coherence of case allocation and disease distribution may improve knowledge regarding the disease ecology and allow more targeted public health interventions.

Confirmatory testing for IgM seroconversion is rarely conducted as only a single positive IgG test is necessary to be classified as a BFV case. This may compromise diagnostic certainty, and to limit this influence, the clinical features experienced by patients were carefully checked in addition to the laboratory diagnosis to ensure that their symptoms were compatible with a recent BFV infection.

The 2010–2011 season produced only 62 notifications, of which 30 patients were interviewed. The small sample size may impair general representativeness. We restricted our sample to those people who had been infected between June 2010 and May 2011 to reduce the possibility of recall bias. The majority of interviews were conducted within three months of the disease notification.

**CONCLUSION**

Geomapping in conjunction with spatial scan statistics using residential address data may be convenient for providing crude information on BFV disease clustering, but assuming that the home address approximates the site of exposure is fraught with problems. For diseases with an environmental association like mosquito-borne diseases, it may provide a false impression of the risk areas and epidemiology.

Telephone interviews confirmed that almost all recently notified BFV cases occurred in close proximity to estuarine wetlands and other coastal brackish water habitats, which are increasingly being favoured for housing development.

We suggest a revision of the arboviral surveillance system in Australia to include collection of information on travel history and risk exposure. Spatial surveillance that considers exposure location, as used in this study, may be of particular value for other vectorborne diseases where accurate information regarding viral transmission is lacking. Understanding the spatial patterns of infection rather than assuming coherence of case allocation and disease distribution may improve knowledge regarding the disease ecology and allow more targeted public health interventions.
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