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Summary
This workshop included participants from 5 member countries: Cook Islands, Fiji Islands, Samoa, Tuvalu and Vanuatu, three to four participants per country attended, allowing a range of sectors to be represented including health, education, finance, planning and agriculture. The workshop was organized by the Lifestyle Health Section of SPC, with funding support from WHO and JICA, and with collaborative assistance from FAO and UNICEF.
During the four and a half day workshop, issues related to the successful development and implementation of national plans of action and policies on nutrition were explored. This included: the historical basis and needs for national plans of action on nutrition, essential components of plans and policies, using emerging issues such as NCDs to promote nutrition plans, developing successful partnerships and advocating for support and action.
The workshop was participatory in nature, with the participants mainly working in country groups to explore issues. Plenary feedback sessions allowed time for further discussions of points raised and sharing of ideas amongst country representatives. Ultimately, the country participants each worked to review their existing plans and policies related to nutrition, and to set plans for its update or improvement. They also developed plans for activities needed to ensure that their plans would be fully implemented, which is a particular difficulty.
Over forthcoming months, participants will begin to work on their plans within their country and can call upon SPC, FAO, UNICEF and WHO for support. This support will include a follow-up visit to assess progress and assist each country. A small grant will also be available to all countries to assist them in funding their activities.
Evaluation of the workshop by participants was positive, and progress over the next six months will indicate the impact of this workshop on national plans and policies on nutrition.
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Introduction

Aims
The course aims to help to build capacity in countries to develop and implement multi-sectoral national nutrition action plans and policies.

Learning Outcomes
This training course focuses on developing effective and sustainable national food and nutrition plans and policies.
At the end of this training course, participants should be able to:
- Assess food and nutrition plans and policies.
- Develop effective and sustainable food and nutrition plans.
- Promote implementation of food and nutrition programmes of action.

Background to this workshop
The 1992 International Conference on Nutrition brought together 159 countries in an effort to eliminate hunger and to reduce all forms of malnutrition. This conference agreed nine key strategies and actions to tackle these problems. In a follow-up, the 1996 World Food Summit brought together many countries and confirmed this general perspective, and linked the strategy more firmly with the goals of poverty eradication and environmental protection / sustainable development. The Final Declaration of the WFS is a key document, alongside the ICN. Nutritional plans and policies when developed at a national level can be an important and effective tool in ensuring national action on dealing with nutritional issues. The main strands of a nutrition plan can also incorporate healthy lifestyles, particularly physical activity. As such they are important within the Pacific region for tackling the issues of undernutrition (such as anaemia and failure to thrive) and also in the growing problem of noncommunicable diseases such as diabetes and heart disease.

The underlying principles for a good food and nutrition plan and policy are:
1. To have “joined up” food and nutrition plans and policies that include the elements that meet the needs of good nutrition, food safety, sustainable food supply and healthy lifestyles.
2. To have food and nutrition plans and policies that address on the one hand the ill-health associated with under consumption, and on the other hand the ill-health associated with over and unbalanced consumption.
3. That the plans and policies are intersectoral in thinking and interagency in delivery.
Outline of course content
The course includes:

- International dimensions of food and nutrition plans and policies,
- Public health impact of food and nutrition insecurity and safety,
- How to address barriers to change and how to develop/implement/follow-up food and nutrition plans and policies,
- How to access and use monitoring and surveillance systems,
- How to use advocacy to achieve goals.

Participants who complete the training course will be equipped with the skills necessary to help develop and implement intersectoral food and nutrition plans and policies at the national or local level.

Learning method
The learning method encourages participants to carry out activities, as a way of finding out and learning about food and nutrition plans and policies.

Participants will work in groups, according to various criteria, allocated by the facilitator. Everybody will be encouraged to participate and contribute to the overall conclusions.

Participants
The countries of Cook Islands, Fiji, Samoa, Tuvalu and Vanuatu were invited to participate in this first workshop for the region.
Each country was asked to nominate 4 participants representing different sectors - to include Health, Agriculture, Education, Planning, Commerce, Fisheries or other relevant sector. The participants were requested to be policy makers or their advisors.
A full list of all participants is included in appendix one.
Unfortunately at the last moment, one participant from Vanuatu was unable to attend.

Organisation
The workshop was organised by the Secretariat of the Pacific Community (SPC)'s Lifestyle Health Section (LHS), in collaboration with the SPCs Community Education Training Centre (CETC).
The workshop was organised in collaboration with FAO, JICA, UNICEF and WHO. Facilitation was provided by representatives of all these agencies.

Funding was provided by WHO (Geneva and Manila offices) and JICA, with the LHS absorbing administration.
Opening Session

The opening of the workshop began with a prayer and blessing. The opening was attended by all the participants and facilitators, along with Dr. Kamrul Islam and Dr Kyaw-Myint from UNICEF and Mr Suzuki from JICA (Fiji). Opening remarks were given by Jimaima Schultz on behalf of SPC, Dr Kyaw-Myint on behalf of UNICEF, Dr Midori Ishikawa on behalf of JICA and Dr Cavalli-Sforza on behalf of WHO.

Food and Nutrition Plans & Policies: Past Experiences

Dr Chizuru Nishida then gave an opening presentation on the history and role of NPANs.

The international context of macro-policy on food & nutrition has changed a great deal since the 1974 World Food Conference. At the World Food Conference, the emphasis was on food production. Therefore, efforts were made to investigate ways and means to increase food production and improve socio-economic development. It was believed that the projected rapid socio-economic development would mean that nutrition would take care of itself.

By the beginning of the 1980s, a new perspective was introduced. And it was then argued that hunger was more a problem of distribution and access to food. An increasing number of studies in 1980s (including those of Amatia Sen) have indicated that hunger problems go beyond food availability and even raising household incomes did not improve nutritional well-being.

In the beginning of the 1990s, hunger & malnutrition were considered as priority issues to be addressed.

In 1990, the World Summit for Children set nutrition-related goals and in 1991, the Ending Hidden Hunger Conference addressed the issues of micronutrient malnutrition. In 1992, the International Conference on Nutrition, examined 3 underlying causes (i.e. food, health & care) and addressed malnutrition in all its forms through 9 action-oriented strategies.

And, in 1996, the World Food Summit reaffirmed the commitment to achieving food & nutrition security for all. Then the UN Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) were produced.

Today, 144 countries (that is approximately 75% of WHO Member States) have drafted or finalized their national plans of action.

There is a need for “tools” to help counties to:
1. Translate plans into action
2. Incorporate emerging issues which were not addressed or highlighted at the time of ICN
3. Assess their national food and nutrition situation; identifying factors affecting
5 commonly cited factors affecting nutritional status (response from Member States at regional review meetings held during 1999-2001)

- Infectious diseases & parasites
- Poverty
- Physical inactivity
- Changing dietary patterns & habits (i.e. high fat intake, fast foods, snacking etc.)
- Poor breastfeeding practices
- Household food insecurity

3 commonly cited factors affecting nutritional status by region:

**AFRO:**
1. Infectious diseases & parasites
2. Household food insecurity
3. Poor breastfeeding practices

**AMRO:**
1. Poverty
2. Physical inactivity
3. Infectious diseases & parasites

**EMRO:**
1. Infectious diseases & parasites
2. Poverty
3. Low literacy rate, Natural disasters, Changing dietary habits, Physical inactivity, insufficient intake of iron-rich foods

**EURO:**
1. High fat intake
2. Physical inactivity
3. Economic transition, Changing dietary patterns & habits (i.e. fast food, snacking, etc.)

**SEARO:**
1. Infectious diseases & parasites
2. Insufficient intake of iron-rich foods
3. Low literacy rate, Household food insecurity, Poor sanitation & personal hygiene

**WPRO:**
1. Physical inactivity
2. Infectious diseases & parasites
3. Changing lifestyles

Emerging issues that affect nutrition

- globalization
- nutrition transition — overweight & obesity
- fetal programming of chronic diseases
- HIV/AIDS
- biotechnology — GM foods
- micronutrients beyond the big three
- prions — BSE
- SARS, bird flue
Potential for effective intersectoral food and nutrition plans and policies
Bring together coordinated action at national, district and community levels
Enhance the effective use of limited resources in countries (both financial and human)
Address the entire spectrum of nutrition-related ill health (associated with both under- & over-/unbalanced nutrition)
Contribute to the alleviation of poverty and facilitate national development

Review of course content
Wendy gave an overview of the course aims and objectives, along with information on the agenda and format. Details were also given on the material in the participant packs. Administrative arrangements were also reviewed at this time.
Session 1: Introduction

Aims
This session aims to:
• Break the ice
• Find out who is on the course
• Set the scene

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this session participants should be able to:
• Identify their own role in relation to the food supply chain
• Explain key issues in food and nutrition

Activity 1.1: Introduction

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this session each participant should be able to:
• Identify most course participants

Activity
Each participant was asked to give their name, work area and to give their expectations of the workshop.

Participant Expectations
• Learn more about NPAN
• Learn from other countries how to address food security especially in rural areas
• Share experiences of other countries on developing policy on nutrition
• How to plan action using local foods
• Learn new experiences on improving NPAN and food security
• Learn more action plan to address NCDs
• Learn about barriers to NPAN
• Share success stories
• Incorporate new knowledge and experience into national policy
• How education sector can promote food and nutrition
• Better understanding of NPAN to contribute to improving courses at USP
• Focus of food safety that meets needs of PICs
• How to incorporate food safety into curriculum
• Help assess NPAN/policy
• Learn about food production in other countries
• Collaborate with other partners
• Put plans into action
Activity 1.2: Describe the importance of food and nutrition for health and development

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this activity, participants should be able to:

- Identify some of the main effects of nutrition and food on public health
- Appreciate the importance of good nutrition for health and countries' economies
- Highlight how the four pillars encompass all key areas.

Activity
Participants were asked to brainstorm the positive and negative impacts of food and nutrition.
This led onto discussions about how important food and nutrition is - and how it can have such wide-ranging effects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Negative impacts</th>
<th>Positive impacts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e.g. increasing overweight &amp; obesity problems</td>
<td>e.g. increased availability &amp; variety of food</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malnutrition</td>
<td>Longevity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>Healthy body, mind, spirit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing rates of NCD</td>
<td>Beauty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early deaths due to NCD losses to productivity and the families</td>
<td>Good brains (IQ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due to increasing NDC morbidity &amp; mortality</td>
<td>Fitness (sports etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth decay (economic and health consequences)</td>
<td>Good eyesight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactivity (laziness)</td>
<td>Good leadership (for politicians)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of control in food markets as a result of multinationals</td>
<td>Healthy skin, teeth, everything</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced learning capacity</td>
<td>Reduced health care costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>due to limited availability of certain food items and nutrients</td>
<td>Higher learning capacity of school children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good food standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physical strength for sports, working capacity, social activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Economic gains through export earnings and reduced imports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased productivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing cost of treatment and disability related to NCD and other nutrition-related diseases</td>
<td>Decreased mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food poisoning and diarrheal diseases (cost of treatment, days of work lost, losses for tourism)</td>
<td>Food security (both in family and nationwide)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative changes in eating habits</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changing children’s dietary patterns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Negative impact of marketing of certain foods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited IQ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• due to anaemia, iodine deficiency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inadequate land for cultivation leads to less physical activity and to food insecurity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Participants were then asked to consider what they thought of as definitions for the terms: food security, food safety, nutrition and healthy lifestyles. Then they looked at how these definitions covered all the issues raised. This led onto discussion about how these 4 terms can be considered to be main areas needing action.

**Activity 1.3: Food news**

**Learning Outcomes**
At the end of this session each participant should be able to:
- Identify main food and nutrition concerns for public.

**Activity**
Participants were asked to look at some local news stories which had been collected by the facilitators. They worked in random groups and were asked to feedback what they thought were the main themes of interest to the public and media.

**What the media is interested in:**
- Promotion of information to inform public
- Sensational news e.g. food poisoning
- Problems
- Create awareness
- Economic-related
- Social impact
- Promotion of products by companies

**What the public are interested in:**
- Information about quality of products and research
- Practices
• Prevention and solutions of problems
• How to access resources
• Price of food and availability
• Sustainability of development projects

What health professionals see as priorities
• Information dissemination – accuracy, timely and coverage
• Food safety
• Training of personnel
• Reduction in rates of diseases
• Influencing public opinion
• Assessing results of information disseminated
• Consumption of quality /nutritious food

Activity 1.4: Understand food supply chain and how it has changed in the region in last 20 years

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this activity participants should be able to:
Describe the food supply chain
Explain how food supply chain has been changing in their country in last 20 years.

Presentation
An overview of the food supply chain was given.
Examples were then shared of different versions of the food supply chain existing in this region.
Variations in food supply chain - Local fish

Fisherman catches fish (primary producer)
  ↓
Sells fish at local market (retailing)
  ↓
Prepared at home

OR

You catch your own fish (primary producer)
  ↓
Prepared at home

OR

Fishermen catch fish (Primary producer)
  ↓
Processed at fish factory (local or overseas) (Secondary food processing)
  ↓
Travels back to country (food distribution)
  ↓
Sold at local store (food retailing)
  ↓
Prepared at home

Variations in food supply chain - Rice

Farmers grow rice (Primary producer)
  ↓
Milled locally (Primary food processing)
  ↓
Travels to country (food distribution)
  ↓
Sold at local store (food retailing)
  ↓
Prepared at home

Purchases seeds, tools or pesticides from supplier
**Activity**
In groups participants were asked to consider the following:
What was the food supply chain like 20 years ago? (what foods did you buy? where? what are the major differences in context, range, quality, availability, variety, cost, freshness, sources? etc).
- What is the food chain like now?
- What changes do you think will happen in the food supply chain locally in next ten years

Main changes were fed back to the group:

*Main Changes in food supply chain in last 20 years*
- Changes from short/simple to longer/complex chains (particularly in urban areas)
- Longer chains especially for processed food
- Increase in retail level in rural areas

*Next 10 yrs?*
- Longer chain (following current trends) – increase processing, increase movement, consumer wants increase variety
- OR Shorter and less complex – shrinking economy less money may lead to increase in subsistence; impact on education and awareness
- Still retain some medium chain

**Activity 1.5: Examining the influences on the food supply chain, and on what people eat**

**Learning Outcomes**
At the end of this activity participants should be able to:
- Identify factors which influence people's diets
- Recognise the need for national food and nutrition plans and policies

Information on factors which can affect people's diets were presented. Participants then discussed these.

**What factors determine what consumers eat?**
- Access to food
- Price & income
- Time (collect, obtain, buy, prepare)
- Appetite – health
- Quality
- Religion
- Family size
- Availability
- Electricity – storage, variety
- Preservation, processing
- Culture – beliefs
- Transportation
- Natural disasters
- Advertisement
- Social factors
- Attitude towards foods
- Knowledge of nutrition
- Climate
- Age

**How does policy affect what people eat?**

- Benefits and gifts: welfare support
  - school meals
- Access (all factors)
  - price (healthy food ++ price
  - electricity for storage
- Choice (all are important)
  - taste, preference (sweet & fatty foods)
- Availability
  - under stocked shops
- Information
  - Food labelling (urban) – imported food, foreign language, expiry dates (assumed level of literacy)
  - advertising of less desirable foods
  - consumer information (BF support, recipes for healthy foods, claims on certain foods, regulations and implementation
  - food preparation
Session 2: Food and nutrition plans and policies

Learning outcomes
At the end of this session participants should be able to:
1. Describe what are food and nutrition plans and policies.
2. Outline existing international policy commitments (e.g. 1992 ICN, 1996 WFS) which are of relevance.

Activity 2.1: What is food and nutrition policy?

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this activity participants should be able to:
• Identify key features of a food and nutrition policy
• Explain the difference between food and nutrition plans and policies

Activity
There was a discussion of the differences between policies and plans and how the two may be developed separately or together.

➢ A Food and Nutrition Policy is a written statement of commitment by a nation state.
➢ A Food and Nutrition Programme consists of a plan and timetable of action carried out by any sub national organisations.
➢ A Food and Nutrition Plan is an outline scheme for action.

Activity 2.2: Understanding 1992 International Conference on Nutrition (ICN) commitment

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this activity participants should be able to:
➢ see how new commitments and strategies can be related back to the ICN and to a food policy, and can be used to reinforce food and nutrition policies
➢ See how ICN commitments are reflected within 4 key themes, and can be used together to help develop and assess nutrition policies.

Presentation:
• first global intergovernmental conference on nutrition
• strong regional and country focus.
• Over 150 countries worked to coordinate in-country reviews of their national nutrition situation.
• Also meetings was held in all regions of the world during the first quarter of 1992, to provide basis for developing the Global Plan of Action adopted by the ICN.

August 1992: first meeting: 700 participants. 
- based on 9 goals and 9 strategies as global priority nutrition action areas  
- gave a technical framework for the prep NPANs.

The World Food Summit: Rome 1996 reiterated and reinforced the ICN commitment. By December 2001, 84% of WHO’s Member States had final or draft NPAN.

**ICN Strategies**

1. Incorporating **nutrition** objectives into development policies and programmes.
2. Improving household **security**.
3. Protecting consumers through improved **food quality** and **safety**.
4. Preventing and managing **infectious** diseases.
5. Promoting **breastfeeding**.
6. Caring for the socio-economically deprived & **nutritionally vulnerable**.
7. Preventing & controlling specific micronutrient **deficiencies**.
8. Promoting appropriate diets & **healthy lifestyles**.

We can consider 4 overarching themes:

- Nutrition
- Food safety
- Sustainable food supply
- Healthy lifestyles

**Activity**

In groups, the participants were asked to consider one of the policies/strategies included in the supplementary materials and to indicate how that policy/strategy inter-relates with the nine components of ICN. They agreed that the initiatives such as Healthy Schools, Healthy islands, global strategy on diet, physical activity and health, all overlapped with various parts of the ICN - and so could be used to support action on their NPAN.
Fiji’s country presentation
Sneh Chand then presented an update of the Fiji Plan of Action on Nutrition (FPAN) on behalf of her country group.
Objective: To improve the nutritional status and health of the population

Background on FPAN
- Endorsed by Cabinet in 1998
- designed to be intersectoral
- activities are coordinated by the National Food and Nutrition Center (NFNC) and are carried out by the relevant Government Ministry or other Organization
- Activities should be part of normal Ministry/Organisation responsibilities
- Background on FPAN (2)
- Is based on the guidelines from the International Conference on Nutrition, held in 1992.
- Eight out of the nine themes were adapted to address Fiji’s nutrition situation, including:
  - Nutrition in Fiji

Nutrition problems remain…
Surveys showed that:
- 33% of people are overweight or obese, with more women than men being affected
- 1.9% of the population has diabetes, and this appears to be increasing
- 19% of people have high blood pressure
- 32% of women and 16% of men are anaemic

Implementing the FPAN
- FPAN activities - coordinated by the (NFNC) and carried out by the relevant Government Ministry or other Organization
- NFNC is strongly advocating partnerships for action on nutrition– relevant partner organisations are suggested in the FPAN document

Following the 2003 NPAN Subregional Workshop…
- Dec 03 – “familiarise participants on FPAN” Workshop held
- May 04 – first meeting of FPAN National Advisory Committee
Membership: Government, NGOs, UN Agencies, Academic Institutions
- Sept 04 – FPAN National Awareness Workshop – multisectoral participation
- July – Nov 04 – Evaluation of Progress on FPAN Activities
- Creating Awareness of the FPAN
- Little awareness of the FPAN among stakeholders outside the MoH until 2004
• National Awareness Workshop participants included stakeholders from Government, NGOs, Academic Institutions, Food Industry
• All Major Government stakeholders have staff at the local/divisional level
• Have done divisional Workshops for MoH staff

Why Evaluate the FPAN?
• Provide essential information for a Review of the FPAN
• Provide recognition and new ideas for Ministries and Organisations working for the nutrition of the people of Fiji
• Make the FPAN more relevant
• Revise FPAN activities that are completed or “out of date”
• A Review of the FPAN is a priority in the MoH 2002-04 Strategic Plan

What has been done so far
• Little awareness of the FPAN among stakeholders outside the MoH until 2004
• National Awareness Workshop participants included stakeholders from Government, NGOs, Academic Institutions, Food Industry
• All Major Government stakeholders have staff at the local/divisional level
• Have done divisional Workshops for MoH staff
• An evaluation of progress on FPAN activities is currently underway
• Show what activities have been undertaken
• Show where agencies are working together to achieve the activities, and highlight areas where agencies could co-operate to improve outcomes.

Evaluation Strategy
• The FPAN National Advisory Committee is guiding the evaluation
• Evaluation of Progress on FPAN Activities via interviews with key people in stakeholder agencies
• Measurable Outcomes developed for all FPAN Activities
• Interview schedule developed.
• Evaluation Strategy Cont’d
• The Interview Schedule has four components:
• Progress on FPAN Activities (using Measurable Outcomes
• Comments and suggestions to improve relevance of FPAN Activities & usability of the FPAN Document.
• Barriers to implementation (this is usually incorporated into the Progress on Activities by the interviewer)
• Other nutrition-related activities that the stakeholder is involved with.

The Way Forward
• The outcomes will be reported to Cabinet, with recommendations for improving the FPAN
• However, NFNC is emphasizing the need to take action now
• The FPAN is still Fiji’s current strategic plan for action on nutrition.
Session 3: Developing inter-sectoral and integrated national food and nutrition plans and policies

Aims
This session aims to:
1. Look at building links between nutrition plans/policies with other relevant ones e.g. NCDs
2. Link the four key themes into an inter-sectoral national food and nutrition plan and policy.
3. Produce an outline of other relevant existing plans and policies.
4. Identify key elements for success for policies and plans.

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this session participants should be able to:

- Recommend how best to inter-relate existing and future strategies and policies with nutrition plans and policies
- Recommend the best approaches for success

Activity 3.1: Linking NCD plans and policies with nutrition plans and policies

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this activity participants should be able to:

- Consider how best to interlink NCD plans and policies with existing nutrition plans and policies for their country

Presentations
Information was given regarding the change in focus of many countries to NCDs and why this was happening.

In recent decades, as there has been a shift in lifestyle and diet within the region, and increasing development in many urban areas, we have seen a reduction in most countries of many diseases of undernutrition such as failure to thrive and underweight. Concerted efforts continue to also try to deal with the remaining micronutrient problems which still challenge the region - mainly vitamin A deficiency, anaemia and iodine deficiency. As these health issues change over time, so, more recently in the region, we see an increasing emphasis on 'overnutrition' and poor lifestyle, and the resulting dramatic increases in the noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) (e.g. diabetes, obesity, and heart disease). Whilst the undernutrition problems have not gone away, the NCD problems are becoming extremely alarming and are a major issue of concern for most of the Pacific island countries. Nutrition and diet is a critical aspect of the healthy lifestyle which can both prevent and control most NCDs and as such is an essential element of any plans to tackle the problem of NCDs.
The purpose of this session was to highlight how the increasing emphasis on NCDs can be used to further nutrition plans and policies - and is an excellent tool for advocating for nutrition action. Also to explore how countries can ensure that their nutrition plans and policies are not lost when developing national plans or policies on NCDs. Again, this activity can be seen as an opportunity to advocate for nutrition plans and policies.

While many are already aware of the STEPS surveillance system currently being implemented gradually across the region, less well-known perhaps is that the same concepts were used to develop a framework for NCD intervention. This can be used as a tool to develop NCD interventions, and in particular plans and policies.

STEPwise framework for NCD intervention

The 2003 Health Ministers conference recommended the following:

- "The STEPwise framework for NCD prevention and control is recommended as the fundamental basis for risk reduction in the priority NCDs in the PI countries and areas"
- "Governments, through their Ministries of Health should develop a national NCD plan based on this template"
- "Appropriate financial resources should be re-allocated for NCD control according to the framework of the STEPwise approach to NCD prevention and control".

This framework recommends that three levels of priority be used:

- Core Interventions (top priority - action within 2 years).
- Expanded Interventions (slightly lower priority – 2-5 years)
- Optimal Interventions (less urgent – 5 years). For each of these areas, countries should develop three types of activity.

- National: Legislation, taxation, law enforcement
- Community: Community based health promotion activities
- Individual (high risk): Clinical interventions

For many countries there is a problem of how to marry existing draft or final NPANs with newer NCD plans of action. It was discussed how this could be tackled and what the best approach was. It was highlighted that an NCD plan will not cover all aspects of nutrition - and so consideration needs to be given to ensure that all the ICN 9 strands are covered in national plans.

Samoa shared their experience of developing their NCD plan - when they already had a finalised and well developed NPAN. They approached the problem in three phases:

Phase 1
- National NCD workshop to get ideas: many stakeholders included
- Papers on 4 main risk factors (SNAP). Nutrition paper presented NPAN
- 4 working groups (based on 4 risk factors). Nutrition group used NPAN
- Information from groups compiled to form NCD strategy

2nd Step
- Workshop to review draft NCD strategy
- Working groups on 4 risk factors
- Compiled suggestions into final draft
3rd Step
- Circulate final draft to all stakeholders
- Submit to NCD Committee for approval
- Approval
- Submission to cabinet

Activity 3.2: Assessing existing food and nutrition plans and policies

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this activity participants should be able to:
- Assess successes, strengths and weaknesses of existing food and nutrition plans & policies

Each country group made a presentation regarding the status of their national plans and policies on nutrition.

Samoa
National Food & Nutrition Policy of Samoa - 1996
National Plan of Action for Nutrition – 2002
National NCD Strategy & Plan of Action – draft 2004 (Incorporates nutrition)

Background
The National Food & Nutrition Council (NFNC) is the coordinating body for Food & Nutrition activities. Established 1986 & officially recognized by Cabinet 1996. It is an Intersectoral Council – 18 organisations represented
- Health
- Agriculture
- Education, Sports, Culture
- Treasury (Planning)
- Women, Community, Social Dev.
- Commerce, Industry, Labour
- NGOs (e.g. Red Cross, Chamber of Commerce, Churches)

National Food & Nutrition Policy was ratified by Cabinet in 1996

Special Objectives -
- Reduce NCDs
- Reduce malnutrition
- Increase local food production
- Improve food security
- Improve food quality and safety
- Promote breastfeeding
- Nutritional objectives into development plans
• Organise nutritional surveillance
• Organise disaster preparedness

National Plan of Action for Nutrition
• Completed 2002
• The NPAN is based on the National Food & Nutrition Policy - it translates the policy directives into action

Developing the NPAN
• Long process - started in 1994 and finished in 2002
• Assistance provided by WHO & FAO
• Activities grouped under the 9 themes recommended by the International Conference on Nutrition
• Activities drawn from the National Food and Nutrition Policy
• Meetings with stakeholders (individual meetings and NFNC meetings)

Developing the NPAN
• More than one hundred people in 54 organisations were consulted
• Information collected was entered into the matrix
• A working group of 9 members (from different agencies & FAO consultant) drafted the NPAN
• NPAN adopted by NFNC 2002

Factors Influencing the Development of the NPAN - Long and at times difficult process
Eventual success due to:
• FAO and WHO support
• Consultants who assisted the process
• Nutrition section committed
• Some members of the NFNC committed
• Time spent talking with people to ensure their understanding and input

Factors Influencing the Development of the NPAN
• Difficulties arose because some sectors:
• Lack an appreciation of the importance of nutrition
• Think that nutrition is a health problem and thus the responsibility of the Ministry of Health
• Changing focal points in agencies – no continuity

Structure of the NPAN
• Covers a 5 year period: 2002 – 2007
• The strategies are the 9 themes of the ICN
• Under each strategy there are a number of objectives. For example for strategy 1

  1.1. Incorporating nutrition objectives into development programmes and policies
  1.2. Organising the National Food and Nutrition Council (NFNC) is fully operational
1.3. Incorporate food and nutrition goals and components in all relevant national development policies and sectoral plans, programmes and projects

Implementation

- Samoa has started the implementation phase of the NPAN
- Ministries are conducting activities e.g.
  - Agriculture – Farmers trainings on horticulture; nurseries and demonstration plots established.
  - The MWCSD – The Aiga ma Nuu Manuia program focusing on skills building in cooking, planting vegetables and fruits.
  - Education – Food & nutrition curriculum now taught from years 9-13; Curriculum for health and physical education completed and will be taught to all secondary students from next year.

Monitoring

- We do not know how many of the activities have been implemented and completed
- There is provision for monitoring every 6 months but it has not happened yet
- Lack of human resources for monitoring is a problem
- Non-communicable Diseases Strategy
- Multi-sectoral document
- Development started 2003 and final draft completed 2004
- Addresses the 4 main risk factors for NCDs – smoking, nutrition, alcohol, physical activity
- Designed to complement the NPAN – many of the activities from the NPAN incorporated in the Strategy
- Presently monitoring the activities from this year to determine progress
- Ministry Corporate Plans
  - Agriculture: Better working relationships with other agencies e.g. joint nutrition programmes with Ministry of Health
  - Health: Objective 2 - To provide health services to improve, promote and protect the health status of all Samoans
  - Education: Objective – To develop and promote the health and well being of all individuals in the country

Summary

- Samoa has 3 intersectoral food & nutrition documents (Food & Nutrition Policy, NPAN, NCD Strategy)
- Implementation of NPAN & NCD Strategy started
- Monitoring difficult – NFNC rarely mets
- Lack of human resources a major problem

Cook Islands
NPAN development had been attempted a few times, leading to a working draft (1997) which covered: Breastfeeding and infant feeding, School nutrition, NCD’s, Home gardening, Elaboration of a national food and nutrition policy, Information for monitoring and evaluation; nutrition surveillance, Training. The Aim is to develop a NPAN early 2005 and this is within the 2005 WHO budget.

Need for incorporation of food and nutrition into curriculum
The food choices made by individuals and families affect all aspects of their health. Food and nutrition education enables students to make informed decisions about food and the choices that will contribute to their own well-being and that of other people. The attitudes and values about, and around, food and nutrition that are developed in young people will have life long impact – either positively – or negatively. In this area of learning, students will have opportunities to examine the influence of food and nutrition in relation to the physical, social, mental and emotional, and spiritual dimensions of health.

A school environment that encourages and supports healthy eating, promotes safe food handling and makes use of community support reinforces the messages taught in effective food and nutrition education.

Students need learning opportunities in food and nutrition education that will enable them to develop:

- Knowledge and understanding of the nutrition people across all age groups require for healthy growth and development;
- Understanding of how nutrition, physical activity and well-being are related;
- Knowledge and understanding of the cultural significance of food and of rituals/beliefs associated with food and nutrition
- Knowledge, understanding and skills for selecting, preparing and preserving food that reflect health-enhancing attitudes towards nutrition and emphasizes traditional/local foods or dishes;
- Knowledge of the costs associated with buying and preparing food and the skills necessary to meet nutrition needs on a limited budget;
- The knowledge and skills needed to prepare food successfully and safely at a personal level and as a shared responsibility
- Knowledge and understanding of healthy eating patterns and the factors that influence food choices and food preparation methods, e.g. advertising

As Cook Islands children are becoming more overweight (Range of 25 – 32% from 3 secondary schools in Rarotonga - Weight Status of Rarotongan School Children, Ministry of Health 2003) One area the Ministry of Education would like to see from a national policy is in the area of mandating schools to have “Healthy Food Policies” whereby only healthy food is allowed to be sold or eaten during the school day. One school in does this already.

Ministry of Agriculture
Five Point National Agriculture Development Strategy:
- Intensification Strategy
- Diversification Strategy
- Sustainable Commercial Agriculture Development
Post harvest and processing facilities development.
• Institutional and Behavioural Development Strategy
• National Policy Coordination Unit

National Development Plan (NDP)

NDP is an outcome of the NAR and WSSD in Johannesburg. It provided the foundation for the process of consultation in the development of the NDP.

What is NDP? “…a long term strategic development plan to guide decision makers…” to make better informed decisions in meeting the needs of the nation without compromising the needs of future generation.

NDP is…A plan for a better future. A picture of the way our nation will develop over the next 15 - 20 years. A guideline for policy and decision makers.

How will NDP apply? Efficient public service, effective delivery of services. National Resources are allocated according to priorities set by consensus (BUDGET). Sufficient Decision Making. No one is left out.

Who is involved? Government, NGO’s, Private Sector, Civil Societies, Public Sector & THE PEOPLE OF THE COOK ISLANDS…

MDG’s is a tool of NDP…Where the NDP sets out to develop a Plan, MDG’s sets out to achieve specific GOALS by 2015.

In terms of NPAN for eg…Policy objectives need to be developed to assist countries to address ‘NUTRITION’ issues/concerns. MDG’s is one global initiative that can address this.

The NDP is a vision, …to guide us in making better informed decisions to meet our needs…” …it will develop partnerships and create ways of achieving the targets of the MDG’s and a sustainable FUTURE for all Cook Islanders.

The MDG is a tool……for achieving specific goals by 2015.

We do not have nutrition issues in our existing draft NDP.

Our perspectives on Nutrition. Stop taking it for guaranteed. Do something about it. Incorporate actions and issues into the plan.

MoH is the driving force, and other agencies eg Education, Agriculture Formulate policies – mandating schools to have a “healthy food policies”.

Even policies to minimise development on Agricultural land.

Expectation from this workshop. “One area the Ministry of Education would like to see from a national policy is in the area of mandating schools to have “Healthy Food Policies” whereby only healthy food is allowed to be sold or eaten during the school day”

Tuvalu

Began the development of their NPAN in 1980, in response to the ICN. However it has not been finalised. Work has recently started to reinvigorate the process and to finalise. The Ministry of Health has a vision to build and healthy and productive Tuvalu. Their mission is to promote, protect, restore and maintain the good health of the population of Tuvalu.

Their overall NPAN objectives are to
1. To ensure continued availability and access by all Tuvaluans to sufficient supplies of safe food for a nutritionally adequate diet
2. To achieve and maintain health and nutritional well-being of all Tuvaluans
3. To promote environmentally sound and socially sustainable development to contribute to improved nutritional health
4. To promote multi- and inter-sectoral cooperation in food and nutrition-related activities to ensure sustainability

Specific objectives
1. Increase the availability of nutritious food
2. Increase the accessibility of nutritious food
3. Increase the desire to consume nutritious food
4. Improve household food security (distribution)
5. Improve lifestyle factors, environmental and personal hygiene
6. Improve the health services for “at risk” groups and people with NCDS
7. Evaluate the policy implementation and monitor the food and nutrition situation

Some statistics on health problems were then presented - to highlight the scale of the local problems.
Vanuatu
The country presentation began by highlighting some of the local reasons why an NPAN was important. Vanuatu has a draft plan on nutrition, along with a recently finalised NCD plan of action. The NPAN was not currently being used - however many relevant activities were taking place, including:

- Vanuatu govt. declared 2001 to be year of local food (Yia blong aelan kakai)(MOA)
- Healthy Cooking demonstration training (using local food) (MOH).
- Awareness & seminars
- Setting up of NCD clinics (e.g.Santo).
- NCD screening with high risk group.
- Counseling NCD patients
- Group education program for NCD patients.
- Promote home gardening & healthy eating.
- IEC materials (MOH & MOA)
- MCH Mobile Clinic
- Include Health, Nutrition & Agriculture in primary school syllabus.
- Action to improve current farming system practices (MOA).
- Action to improve crop variety (MOA)
- Promotion home gardening (MOA)
- Promotion road markets (MOA)
- Encouragement of sale of local foods in retail shops & school shop (MOH & MOA).
- Encouragement of large scale production of local food with view to reduce price (MOA).
- Encouragement of food processing and conservation (MOA)

Activity 3.3: Identifying relevant Government Policies and Plans

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this activity participants should be able to:
Assess their own government’s policy and plans, whether formal or informal
- Identify what policies are already in place that promote nutritional well-being
- Explain role of four key themes in government action

Each country worked in their group to assess what other plans or policies were in place which had a potential impact on food and nutrition locally. This was to help each team to consider what other documents they needed to take into consideration when looking at their NPAN - and also to highlight how cross-cutting food and nutrition is. The participants then looked at all the different policies and considered how they linked in with the four themes. The summary of this is shown below.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrition</th>
<th>Food Safety</th>
<th>Sustainable food supply</th>
<th>Healthy Lifestyle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum statement. Healthy living policy School plans or policies Breastfeeding guidelines Canteen guidelines Consumer legislation (food labelling)</td>
<td>FS legislation Public health act Salt iodisation Food premise licensing Consumers acts CODEX Food standards Food and drug act Quarantine act</td>
<td>Import taxes and duties Agriculture policy Quarantine measures Land, tenure policy Environment act Food security act Fisheries act Training policy Organic farming</td>
<td>NCD strategy and policy Tobacco policy/act Alcohol policy/act Physical education policy in schools Maternity legislation Paternal leave Media use policy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Activity 3.4: Elements and Barriers for success**

**Learning Outcomes**
At the end of this activity participants should be able to:

- Identify barriers to implementing national food and nutrition plans and policies
- Determine successful elements of implementation

**Activity**
In country groups, the participants discussed what have been the factors that have determined the success and the barriers to success for any plans, policies or strategies. For barriers identified participants were asked to suggest ways around the problem. Groups then fed back - focusing on positive aspects.

**Factors that determine success**

- Budget *
- Government and policy-makers commitment *
- Identified coordinating body *
- Human resource adequately trained in nutrition, policy development, research *
- Donor agencies *
- Follow-up *
- On-going educational programme in nutrition
- Evaluation to show impact of activities
- Good role model (practise what you preach)
- Closer working relationship among stakeholders *
- A committed person who has been responsible for NPAN for a longer period *
- School curriculum content consistent with NPAN *
- Adequate consultation with stakeholders
• Awareness by stakeholders of the importance of nutrition plans and policies *
• Networking national and regional levels
• Good leadership/management *
• Use traditional and religious groups
• Support from international organisations *

* Critical to success of NPAN

**Barriers**
• Little or no commitment from stakeholders e.g. no focal point within each sectors
• Nutrition not important
• Lack of adequate advocacy
• Inconsistent government response
• Inadequately trained human resources
• Lack of evidence/data to be used for advocacy

**Activity 3.5: Relating emerging and re-emerging issues with food and nutrition plans and policies**

**Learning Outcomes**
At the end of this activity participants should be able to:
• Make links between emerging and re-emerging issues, and food and nutrition plans and policies
• Take these as opportunities to promote food and nutrition plans and policies

**Activity**
In groups, the participants worked on one specific emerging/re-emerging issue and discussed how NPAN could potentially assist with this problem and advantages of having an NPAN when such an issue arises.

This helped to demonstrate that such emerging issues such as HIV can actually help to promote NPAN. NPAN would play an important role (if comprehensive) in responding to natural disasters and ensuring appropriate food provided for example.
Session 4: Advocating for action

Advocacy and promotion of the NPAN are essential to ensure its success. It is important to include activities which advocate for action, advocate for partnerships and advocate for support.

Aims
This session aims to:
- Provide an overview of advocacy and what it means
- Highlight sources of information which can be used for advocacy for NPANs

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this session participants should:
- Be able to identify key techniques to advocacy
- Be able to develop some approaches that can be used locally for advocacy.

Activity 4.1: Advocacy overview

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this activity, participants should be able to:
- Describe the basic concepts of advocacy
- Identify some approaches which would be effective to advocate for NPANs

An overview of advocacy was given.

What is advocacy?
Literal meaning – to speak for someone
An advocate works to raise awareness and gain support for a cause – HIV/AIDS, Nutrition, ethnic conflict, reproductive health, etc

UNICEF Definition: Organizing info into arguments to be communicated through various interpersonal channels, with a view to getting political and social leaders acceptance, and preparing society for a particular development program.

Why advocate?
As key stakeholders who bear responsibility for the needs and rights of children and women, families, communities and countries we serve, it is appropriate to target the actions of policy makers to address significant problems which results in fundamental change.

Basic Advocacy Steps
Analyze the problem & set reasonable objectives.
Identify target audience
Assess human, financial & other resources
Move ahead with activities
Evaluate impact of campaign at regular intervals
If need be, modify tactics in response to challenges

Planning an Advocacy Initiative
- Analyze policy
- Outline advocacy strategy
- Finalize advocacy strategy
- Framing a Plan
- Analyze Policy
- Identify Policy/ Issue
- Identify key actors/institutions
- Analyze policy environment
- Identify options for policy change
- Outline Advocacy Strategy
- Select policy/ issue
- Select target audience
- Set policy goal
- Identify support and obstruction
- Finalize Advocacy Strategy
- Select roles
- Identify key messages
- Define advocacy activities
- Framing a Plan
- Set a timeline
- Prepare a budget
- Plan for monitoring and evaluation

Advocacy Strategies Used by UNICEF Pacific
- Using the media
- Information, Educational & Communication (IEC) materials
- Organize events to focus on issue (WAD, BF wk)
- Advocacy kit

Dr Kyaw-Myint then shared some information on how he perceived advocacy - 'finding the hook' to get people involved. You need to find out what someone values and then target information/presentation accordingly.
Activity 4.2: Information systems

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this activity, participants should be able to:
- See why data is needed to inform, monitor and advocate for nutrition policies
- Highlight which data sources exist at a regional level
- Explain the basic concepts of FIVIMS;
- Identify ways to use FIVIMS to help develop and guide food and nutrition plans and policies;
- Articulate key indicators required to identify and assess food and nutrition problems, as well as monitor and measure the impacts of policies and interventions;
- Use these concepts to help develop and enhance partnerships.

Value of data: Why is data of interest for NPANs?
- to assist with ID priorities (where to focus efforts)
- to assist with target setting
- to monitor progress and other changes
- to assess effectiveness of interventions
- to lobby for action by other individuals/agencies

What type of data?
- food related
- social and economic indicators
- population demographics
- health data

Finding the data……..the rest of this session looked at some possible sources of information.

FIVIMS: Why is a FIVIMS system useful? We need to know who are the insecure or the vulnerable, where they are, why these people are affected…and of course use this information to develop policies and strategies to tackle the problems.

What are the dimensions of/factors involved in food security?
- Socio-economic and political environment at a national level e.g. population, market conditions, agricultural sector.
- Socio-economic and political environment at sub-national level e.g. household characteristics, livelihood systems and cultural attitudes.
- Performance of the food economy e.g. food availability, stability of food supplies and access to food.
- Care practices e.g. nutrition education, eating habits, intra-household food distribution.
- Health and sanitation e.g. health care practices, hygiene, water quality, food safety.

SPC’s data systems
Statistics section aims to strengthen the capacity of national statistical systems (NSSs) to provide the region’s policy-makers and analysts with a wide range of key indicators to support their work.

**PRISM:** Pacific Regional Information SysteM. Aims to give national statistics offices the tools and the skills to develop, publish and maintain their own Internet websites containing key statistical indicators, statistical summaries, reports, concepts definitions and other documentation for the statistical indicators. The information from the NSO Internet websites will then be compiled into the SPC PRISM website.

**Demography/population section:** aims to strengthen the capacity of national statistical systems (NSSs) so that the region’s policy makers and analysts have a wide range of key population and social indicators to support their work.

**GIS Project:** population Geographical Information Systems. The project will develop a prototype system for population data analysis and presentation, with which the user can view data from national to village levels by selecting the geographical area of interest from maps. With charts and summary statistical tables complementing the maps, it will be a user-friendly tool for planners and other user groups. The project will also encourage closer collaboration between data users — such as planners, researchers and non-government organisations — and data producers. It will be complemented by advanced training and technical assistance to national planners in the use of population and demography data.

**MOANA and STEPS**

The objectives of the WHO STEPwise Approach to NCD Surveillance are to:
- Recommend a standard methodology that produces valid and reliable estimates of risk factor prevalence as simply and inexpensively as possible.
- Create a surveillance network (sustainability).
- Link surveillance to policies: surveillance is “information for action”.

The approach uses a step-wise system - so countries can determine how detailed or complex their survey will be, based on their capacity. The steps are:

**Step 1: Behaviours:** Tobacco Use, Physical Inactivity, Intake fruit/vegetable, Alcohol Use
**Step 2: Physical measures:** Height/Weight/Waist, Blood Pressure
**Step 3: Blood samples:** Blood glucose/diabetes, Cholesterol

Participation in STEPS requires: Commitment by Ministry of Health, participation in a STEPS planning workshop, implementation of survey at the local level, participation in a STEPS analysis and data use workshop, analysis and publication of results, application of findings - links to Health Promotion programmes, repeat survey or other activities to build surveillance infrastructure.

Evaluation will consist of process (focus on activities e.g. staff training, people screened), impact (NCD determinants) and outcome (end-points - incidence of NCDs) evaluation.

**Activity 4.3: PROFILES**

**Learning Outcomes**
At the end of this activity, participants should be able to:
- See how data can be used to advocate for NPANs
• Be aware of what approaches are more likely to work for politicians and policy makers

A brief presentation was made of how Profiles worked in its current locations. It is hoped that in the future the profiles system will be expanded to not just cover undernutrition but also overnutrition and NCDs. It is also hoped that support can be found to assist countries in modifying this package for national use.
Session 5: Forging Partnerships

Partnerships are increasingly seen and found to be the way to progress. The partnerships may be between government and the private sector, public health bodies and NGOs, Employers and Employees, national and international agencies.

Aims
This session aims to:
➢ Investigate the potential for partnerships to promote food and nutrition plans and policies
➢ Identify the problems and opportunities of working in partnership

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this session participants should:
Want to work together!

Activity 5.1: Discovering Possible Partners

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this activity participants will be able to:
- Examine role of NGOs, the private sector, and civil society in the food chain.
- Develop possible partners to promote F&N P&P

Activity
Participants were asked to work in country groups and to identify different players (NGOs, the private sector and civil society) in their national food supply chain. They were asked to place them next to the relevant part of the food chain (on flipchart paper).

Agricultural Inputs
Fiji: Chemical suppliers, Fertilizer companies, Research stations, Seed suppliers, Poultry farmers
Tuvalu: TANGO (Tuvalu Association of Non-Government Organisation, ROC (Republic of China)
Cook Islands: NITA (pawpaw growers Association), NGO e.g. Wigmore Ltd, Cook Islands Hydroponics Association, Private sectors – METCO, Ltd; CITC
Vanuatu: Vanuatu Agriculture Supplies, Syndicate Agricole, Agriculture Department
Samoa: MOA – Crop Division; Livestock, Agriculture Stores, Farm Supplies, Fishery, Donor Agencies (FAO)

Primary Production
Fiji: Agricultural Advisers, Farmers, Fishermen, Households, Ministries, Community
Tuvalu: Kaupule, Women’s, Health, Rural development, TANGO
**Cook Islands**: Terreapii Matapo, NGOs e.g Francis Gamier, Private Sector, Jack Cooper, Civil Society, Tapi Taio

**Vanuatu**: Toa farmers, Cattle farmers, Subsistence farmers, Commercial farmers, Fishermen

**Samoa**: Farmers, Fishermen, Household gardeners

**Primary Food Processors**

**Fiji**: Rewa Dairy, Flour Mills of Fiji (FMF), Rice Mills, Abattoirs, Jo’s Farm

**Tuvalu**: Fisheries, Tuvalu Corporation Society (TCS)

**Cook Islands**: The slaughter house, Turoa bakery, Nono Processing, Fish on Ice Ltd

**Vanuatu**: Farmers (pig, cattle), Dairy, Abattoirs, Oil Mill

**Samoa**: Farmers, Dairies

**Secondary Food Processing**

**Fiji**: Fish Cannery, Food industry, Meat cannery, Ginger Industry, Rewa Dairy, Government ministries (legislations etc.), Food inspectors

**Tuvalu**: None

**Cook Islands**: NGOs e.g. Brett Porter, Private Sector, Paradise Nono

**Vanuatu**: Food Centre, Kava Store (processing food), Toa, Vila & Santo Meat packers, Jubile Farm, Vanuatu Beverage, Switi (ice-cream producers)

**Samoa**: Food manufacturers, Breweries, Coca Cola

**Food Distribution**

**Fiji**: Local markets, Traders, Wholesalers, Farmers, Food co., Ministries, CCF

**Tuvalu**: ROC, Fisheries, TCS, Small Stores, Bakery

**Cook Islands**: Tapi Fish Charter Ltd, Meatco, Sava ltd, Cook Islands Trading Company (CITC), Jimco, Labour & Transport

**Vanuatu**: Center Point, L.C.M. (Chinese food importer and distributer), Fuji, Vanuatu Beverage

**Samoa**: Exporter (SAME), Importer – wholesalers

**Food retailing**

**Fiji**: CCF, Ministries, Shops, Markets, Super Markets, Street vendors

**Tuvalu**: TCS, Private business, Fisheries Department, Fishermen, Farmers, Bakers

**Cook Islands**: Private sectors, CITC, Food land, Pharmacy, NGOs, Restaurant Committee

**Vanuatu**: Shops, Markets, Super Markets, Street vendors

**Samoa**: Retailer/Farm Fairs, Supermarkets, Roadside stalls, School canteens

**Food catering**

**Fiji**: Restaurants, Local Government, Ministries, Street vendors, Institutions, Tourism, Home

**Tuvalu**: Motufoua (secondary boarding school), Hospital, Restaurants, Hotels, Hawkers

**Cook Islands**: Trader jacks, Rarotongan, Edgewater, Hospital, Schools, Health Department

**Vanuatu**: Hotels, Restaurants, Boarding schools, Home-based caterers, Hospitals, Fast food outlets
Samoa: Restaurants, Hostels, Hotels, Food vendors, McDonalds, Pinati/ Hua Mei, Boarding schools, Prison, Home catering, Workplace

Domestic Food Preparation
Fiji: Family, All levels
Tuvalu: Families, Communities, Associations, Youths
Cook Islands: NGOs, Churches, Families (celebrations: birthdays, marriages etc.)
Vanuatu: community
Samoa: Families/homes, Consumers, Women ministry

Activity 5.2: Review Past Experiences of Partnerships

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this activity participants should be able to
• Assess possible partners

Activity
In country groups, participants identified at least 2 organisations with whom most of the members of their group have some experience of partnerships. They were then asked to discuss positive experiences, difficulties and opportunities of working with these partners.

Cook Islands
Agricultural Growers Association
• Knowledge exchange (including pesticide)
• Mutual encouragement
• Enhanced activities
• Sharing resources/income generation
Opportunities:
• Increase food variety; increase jobs
• Increase training/attachments, mtgs/sharing, support/backup from government

Fiji
MOH & food industry
• Now more informed
• Outreach has increased networking/improved relationship
• Develop new contacts
• Sharing knowledge, improving nutrition
• Financial supports for promotions
Problems:
• Different goals
• Variable accountability
Opportunities:
• Combine resources, skills, knowledge
• Work around weaknesses & with strengths
Tuvalu
NGO & Agriculture
- Sharing activities, workshops, mutual project proposals, technical assistance
Opportunities
- Increase knowledge
- Provision of materials
- Ongoing partnerships & relationships (community)
- Funding support

Samoa
Ministry of Finance
- Information on accessing resources
- Samoa development strategy – opportunity
- Aid coordinating committee
Opportunity
- To increase priority to food & nutrition to seek funds more
Problem
- Time consuming process (don’t give priority to nutrition)

Vanuatu
Agriculture/health & Chamber of Commerce
- Good relations e.g. promoting nutritious food, crop variety
- Good mutual understanding of issues (priority areas)
- Increase nutrition knowledge
- Chamber has played active role in some nutrition campaign e.g. food safety
- Chamber can relay information to all members
Difficulties
- Staff change; budget limited
Opportunities
- National day events can include more partners
- Develop more relationship with NGOs, food industry
- Reassess national NCD committee

Activity 5.3: Determining which partners to work with regarding food and nutrition plans and policies

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this activity, participants should be able to:
- Determine which partners may be able to help
- Assess how partners can contribute to national food and nutrition plans and policies
**Activity**  
Participants worked in country groups to identify two potential new partners for their nutrition policy/plan. They discussed how this partner could be valuable and how to gain their support.

**Vanuatu**
(1) Provincial Authorities  
- Key gate keeper to communities  
- Broaden and increase network  
(2) Vanuatu Cultural Centre  
- Increase outreach  
- Maintain cultural identity through use of traditional food

**To get support**  
Get support by offering and providing technical assistance e.g. in food production techniques; planting of traditional food crops; promoting traditional lifestyles, food preparation;

**Cook Islands**
(1) Religious Advisory Council (RAC)  
- Reach other denominations (religion major part of community life)  
- Increase outreach  
(2) Small Business Enterprises  
- Increase sales of nutritious food/meals by caterers  
- Increase production of nutritious food

**To get support**  
By advocating them as ‘leaders’  
Provide them with evidence of health problems & that they have an important role to play  
Identify role models within the groups to work with

**Tuvalu**
(1) DBT (Development Bank of Tuvalu)  
- Fund access for home gardening  
(2) Chamber of Commerce  
- Promote quality food

**To get support**  
Conduct awareness programme on nutritious food to encourage lending by Agr. Small business for food production  
Advocate for Agr. and Health representatives to sit on Board of Directors

**Samoa**
(1) Women in Business  
- focus on income generation to link up with school canteen and start fruit production)  

**To get support**
Sell product as income generation
Agriculture to provide them with fruit trees to plant
Promote health through business participation

(2) Tourism/Sports Organisation
   • Increase local fruits/food for SPC Games

To get support
   • Positive experience for visitors

Fiji
(1) Local Government
(2) Housing Authority
(3) Fijian Affairs
   • Land to be provided to plant foods – back yard gardening

To get support
Provide evidence that land is needed for food production (poverty alleviation)
Maintain traditional foods
Sell concept to promote concept of Year of Traditional Foods
Session 6: Putting Plans into Practice

Aims
• Prioritise possible actions.
• Develop a strategy for the development of national food and nutrition plans.
• Identify actions, areas of responsibility, partners, timing and resources.

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this session participants should be able to:
• Start putting food and nutrition plans into action.
• Work out who can do what where to support process of implementation.

Activity 6.1: Reviewing current NPAN and national needs

Learning outcome:
• to reflect back on key issues raised so far.

A brief summary of all the main issues covered so far in the workshop was given. This was to act as a refresher for participants before they moved on to work in country groups to consider where their NPAN/policy was strong and weak. This information was then used for the next activity.

Activity 6.2: Develop an operational national food and nutrition plan

Learning Outcomes
At end of this activity, participants should be able to
• Present proposals to implement food and nutrition plans and policies
• State strategic goals for one year’s time

The participants were asked to continue in their country groups and to consider
1. Where they wanted their national food and nutrition plan to be in one year’s time.
2. 4 new key strategic goals to achieve the above - one from each of the four key themes. These may be completely new goals, or modifications to existing goals.
(Note: during discussions with some country groups, it was clear that this might be a difficult approach and instead they were asked to come up with some new goals)
Activity 6.3: Implement food and nutrition plans and policies.

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this activity participants should be able to:
- Propose improved national food and nutrition programme

For each of the 4 strategic goals identified in the last activity, participants were to develop a more detailed plan of action to meet those goals. These were then fed back to the main group.

Vanuatu
Weakness of existing plan was that it was not linked with ICN strategies and also was not known by many stakeholders.
Two overarching strategic goals were therefore identified as well as specific ones:
- increase understanding of NPAN
- review NPAN
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic goal</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Implementer and key agency</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Monitoring system</th>
<th>Resource implication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Increase understanding of NPAN                     | Stakeholder seminars and meetings  
Advocacy                                                                        | MOH MOA                    | Dec-March 4mths           | Meeting conducted                              | Nut section staff. Budget                  |
| Review NPAN                                        | Conduct workshop for stakeholders                                           | MOH                         | 6 mths                    | Ensure workshops conducted                     |                                           |
| Control of imported foods                          | Food inspection at ports of entry  
Finalise imported food regulations                                      | MOH, MOF (customs)         | 6 mths                    | Regulation is finalised and enforced            | Training of personnel  
Regulation costs                            |
| Conduct baseline survey on micronutrient deficiency| Conduct training of staff involved.  
Conduct survey  
Analyse data                                                   | MOH, NFNC                  | 1 year                    | Collect data. Training conducted. Tech assistance provided.  
Results.                                          | Funds  
Human resources                                      |
| Improve current status of household food security  | Design appropriate food production project in community                     | MOA, provincial authority   | Ongoing                   | Ensure awareness conducted.  
Funding allocation.                                | Human resources.  
Funding                                              |
<p>| Evaluate effects of physical activity programmes    | Develop population baseline on physical activity (Via STEPS)                | MOH, MOYS, donors           | 1 year                    | Survey conducted - baseline established         | Training of personnel. Finance             |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic goal</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Implementer and key agency</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Monitoring system</th>
<th>Resource implication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development of local food use manual (food safety)</td>
<td>Consult with stakeholders to compile manual. Develop list locally available foods Develop recipe manual Training of mothers in cooking nutritious food</td>
<td>Health dept, NGOs, Agric, Fisheries, caterers, women's group</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>Monthly meetings. Collection of updated information ie list of local foods</td>
<td>Tech assistance (int &amp; ext) Funding for publication of manual and the workshops Stationeries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotion nutritious food in schools</td>
<td>Demonstration school gardening initiative. Home gardening promotion Awareness programmes</td>
<td>Students, teachers, parents, agriculture, tech advisers</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>Follow-up by HQ officers Feedback reports from schools and communities</td>
<td>Funds for tools. Land Human - HQ officers, teachers, students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotion of traditional food production</td>
<td>Awareness programme: publications, radio Planting material production and distribution ID/selection field genebanks Training of farmers Intro improved crop varieties Integrated farming systems Consultation with Kaupule and farmers</td>
<td>Agric department Kaupule</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>Quarterly reports from ag extension officers Kaupule agents Data collection</td>
<td>Funds for tools, planting materials, stationary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To improve the lifestyle of the people of Tuvalu</td>
<td>Awareness workshop on healthy eating Consultation with key people Ongoing awareness through media</td>
<td>Health workers, womens organisations, youth groups, agric extension officers, media, teachers</td>
<td>2 weeks workshop 2 weeks sub-workshop Ongoing</td>
<td>Monthly follow-up from health.</td>
<td>Funding Human resources Transport</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Samoa

In discussions, it was agreed that because Samoa already had a comprehensive NPAN (based on ICN, multi-sectoral) - recently endorsed - that they wanted to look at the wider picture, rather than the actual document. They felt that their major problem was awareness of the NPAN, rather than the NPAN itself. The weaknesses of NPAN were: lack of coordination, lack meetings, lack awareness, no monitoring, lack human resources, nutrition low priority for most ministries. Their goals were therefore to create awareness of the NPAN and to monitor the progress of the NPAN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Implementer and key agency</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Monitoring system</th>
<th>Resource implication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Create awareness of NPAN in ministries attending this workshop</td>
<td>Write report of workshop.</td>
<td>Participants at regional NPAN workshop</td>
<td>Dec 04</td>
<td>Report completed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meet with senior staff of ministry and discuss report, policy and plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of meetings held</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Select focal points from each ministry for NFNC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Focal points selected</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hold awareness meetings with stakeholders in different sections of the 4 ministries.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Jan-June 05</td>
<td>Number of awareness meetings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create awareness amongst NFNC members</td>
<td>Hold meeting of NFNC to discuss NPAN and how to raise awareness</td>
<td>Nutrition section, MOH</td>
<td>Jan 05</td>
<td>Meeting held</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Create sub-committee of NFNC to conduct awareness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sub-committee created</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create awareness amongst NPAN stakeholders</td>
<td>Conduct ½ day workshop to familiarise stakeholders with NPAN. Awareness through media - media releases, TV interviews.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor the implementation of the NPAN</td>
<td>Apply for a volunteer to assist with monitoring NPAN (advice from Fiji). Liaise with focal point in NFNC and other organisations contributing to NPAN and collect data to monitor progress of NPAN. Present monitoring report to NFNC. Apply to PSC to establish a position for NFNC to conduct future monitoring of NPAN and co-ordinate activities. Apply for funding for nutritionist for NFNC in Ministry budget. Recruit.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NFNC subcommittee</th>
<th>Aug 05</th>
<th>Meeting conducted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NFNC subcommittee</td>
<td>Aug 05</td>
<td>Publicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOH</td>
<td>Jan 05</td>
<td>Volunteer recruited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>July-Oct 05</td>
<td>NPAN monitoring report completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nov 05</td>
<td>Report presented to NFNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>April 05</td>
<td>Position created</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May 05</td>
<td>Funding provided</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Workshop expenses: venue, stationary, refreshments, travel allowance | Office space, computer, Stationary | Stationary |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Implementer and key agency</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Monitoring system</th>
<th>Resource implication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improved management of infectious diseases</td>
<td>Nutrition education</td>
<td>MASLR, MOH, NFNC, MOF, MOE, NGOs, academia institutions (tertiary)</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Reports on activities</td>
<td>Human resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Food security for HIV/AIDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention of specific micronutrient deficiencies</td>
<td>Assessment of problem</td>
<td>MOH, NFNC, CCF, USP, MOC, food companies</td>
<td>3 years</td>
<td>Analytical reports</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Possible avenues of food action and food fortification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protecting consumers through improved food quality</td>
<td>Increase stakeholders for existing activities</td>
<td>MOE, USP, MOI, MASLR, NFNC</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Regular surveillance done</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and safety</td>
<td>Development of safe food handling for community, catering premises and</td>
<td>MOH, USP, NFNC, local govt, relevant institutions, food companies</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>and increased collaboration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>food industries eg HACCP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number prog conducted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved food security</td>
<td>Promotion of growing vegetables in places with no backyards</td>
<td>MASLR</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Number prog conducted</td>
<td>Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Promote IPM organic gardening project for backyard</td>
<td>NFNC, NGOs, relevant institutions</td>
<td></td>
<td>HACCP implementation</td>
<td>Relevant ministry budgets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and commercial gardening</td>
<td>MASLR, MoF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HA, local govt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Include marine practices in farm diversification prog</td>
<td>MASLR, FPAN-NAC, local govt, HA</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>Feedback on positive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lobby for increased land allocation for low income housing scheme</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>responses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Promotion of healthy diet and lifestyle | Change focus form just diabetes to general NCD information dissemination  
Inclusion of health and nutrition information in specialized tertiary curriculum  
Review and development of dietary guidelines | NCD taskforce  
NFNC, tertiary institutes, MOE, MOH  
NFNC, HFC subcommittee (NCD) | Ongoing  
Ongoing  
1 year | Surveys and reports  
Inclusion in curriculum  
Dietary development and dissemination | Funds |
### Cook Islands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objectives</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Implementer and key agency</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Monitoring system</th>
<th>Resource implication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement of food safety laws</td>
<td>Advocate stakeholders to promote food safety</td>
<td>MOH, consumer affairs, commerce</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Number complaints, regular visits, lab tests on certain foods, renewal of</td>
<td>HR, pamphlets, brochures, media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Awareness programme on importance of personal hygiene and the premises too.</td>
<td>commission</td>
<td></td>
<td>food license, medical history screening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enforce the law</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School children to bring healthy</td>
<td>Advertisements to be conducted by school children</td>
<td>MOH, education Principals,</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>Statistical data for pilot prog, budget for adverts and pilot</td>
<td>HR, media, posters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lunches</td>
<td>Meeting with stakeholders eg health, principal assoc, school PTAs</td>
<td>teachers, PTA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Policies - teachers lunch checklist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply healthy lunches in school</td>
<td>School policies in place for lunch and canteens</td>
<td>Community, media, parents</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>canteen or tucshops</td>
<td>Pilot projects conducted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase home gardening</td>
<td>Awareness programme: Advertise on TV, field day</td>
<td>Growers, community, agric depat,</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>Agric survey Sunday drive (visual inspections)</td>
<td>Seedlings, agric resources, inorganic compost, awards for competition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Planting seedlings free</td>
<td>market, MOH</td>
<td></td>
<td>Home gardening competitions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ongoing follow-up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase use of home food preservation</td>
<td>Educate school and community: workshops, distribution of samples,</td>
<td>Agriculture department, funding,</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>Follow-up, Puhanga nui market on Saturday</td>
<td>Funding needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>awareness programmes, advocate, media, promotion</td>
<td>market, NGO, health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reduce food importation</th>
<th>Increase planting, increase land use for agriculture, increase land use for agriculture, providing machinery, impose high tax on imported foods, educate govt, encourage growers to commercialise plants/veggies</th>
<th>Agric department, NGO, community/public, customs</th>
<th>12 mths</th>
<th>Obtain stat data from MFEM, inspect retail, compile stats on sales of produce, survey on public preferences</th>
<th>Available resources. Machinery, funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Promotion of physical activity in the workplace</td>
<td>Encourage staff to take lunchtime walks - allow longer lunch breaks Allow workplace flexibility for activity time Advocate on walk for life programme at lunchtime Impose restrictions on the use of govt vehicles for delivery Weighing programmes Workplace sponsorship</td>
<td>Ministries, health, public</td>
<td>6 mths</td>
<td>Spot checks, weigh ins</td>
<td>Funding Support of managers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotion of healthier foods in the workplace</td>
<td>Fine or penalty in participating workplaces if someone eating less healthy food options</td>
<td>Health, MOH, department participating</td>
<td>Spot checks. Amount fines made</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Activity 6.4: Action plans for next six months

Activity
In country groups, participants were asked to discuss what the next steps are for them as both individuals, and as a group, to achieve the targets that they have set (remembering that some targets etc would need to be discussed at a wider level in-country to ensure these are the most appropriate and realistic targets/plans).

To agree:
- group action plan for next six months
- individual action for next six months.

Groups then fed back their national plans to the workshop. Personal plans were shared only with their team members so that they were all clear on what they would be doing over the coming months.

Vanuatu
1) Conduct advocacy meeting on importance of NPAN: involving heads of departments, provincial authorities, NGOs, private sector and media. In Jan 05. Require Tech assistance.
2) Arrange 1st stakeholder meeting involving same stakeholders as above, to draft NPAN. Feb-March 05. Require support from donors. Develop draft copy of NPAN.
3) Arrange 2nd stakeholder meeting to finalise NPAN. June 05. Require support of donors.

Tuvalu
1) Meeting with stakeholders - awareness raising regarding stage of policy, purpose of policy, what is needed to develop policy (Dec 04)
2) Completion of the policy (May 05)
3) Meeting again with stakeholders to finalise policy before submission to cabinet for endorsement

Samoa
Nov: Meet as a team to discuss report from the workshop and how to present it to own ministries (action by NPAN workshop team members, all to support each other)
Write meeting report and present it to senior officers in own ministries (all)
Dec: Meeting to discuss advocacy plan to create awareness and action on NPAN (all)
Jan: Plan how we will conduct awareness meetings - programmes activities (team members to collect information needed for meetings)
Hold national food and nutrition council meeting to create awareness and action on NPAN
Jan-June: Hold awareness meetings with different sections of the 4 ministries represented at NPAN meeting.
Fiji
1) FPAN reviewed and report written
2) 'Rope-in' other stakeholders and review stakeholders
3) Micronutrient deficiency research summary - consider food fortification
4) Food safety and handling - boarding schools, major govt catering facilities, food industries
5) Integrated pest management gardening concept promoted
6) Boarding school - piloting in 10 schools of action for nutrition and food security
7) Dialogue in tertiary institutes for inclusion of health and nutrition in curricula. Also incorporation food and nutrition in research. And curriculum revision for all schools.

Cook Islands
1) To promote NPAN awareness between stakeholders: actions - consultation meetings with participants and heads of departments, HODs with SMTS, with other stakeholders and also media awareness. Jan-June
2) To draft NPAN for the Cook islands: health will be focal point, advocacy, development of recommendations for final document. June - Dec
Session 7: Review and evaluation of the training course

Aims
This session aims to:

- Review Course Programme
- Identify strengths and weaknesses of the course
- Improve activities, timetable, and relevance of course

Learning Outcomes
At the end of this session participants should be able to:
Report the learning outcomes of the programme to relevant people

Activity 7.1: Review and evaluation

All participants completed an evaluation form (summary given in appendix three). They also shared information regarding their main thoughts about the workshop verbally.

Closing Ceremony
The closing ceremony was attended by Lia Maka the Principal of CETC. It included short closing remarks from Jimaima Schultz, Dr Tommaso Cavalli-Sforza (WHO) and Dr Midori Ishikawa (JICA). These were followed by closing remarks from Lia Maka, and the giving of certificates of completion to all participants. They were reminded that all the involved agencies will endeavour to support them in their activities in the coming months.
The participants then gave their closing remarks and thanks to the facilitators and CETC.
Appendix one: List of participant and facilitators

**Cook Islands**

Mr Avaiki Mataio Aperau  
Field/Extension Service Supervisor  
Ministry of Agriculture  
Fax: (+682) 21881  
Tel: (+682) 26599 home / 28711 work  
E-mail: cimoa@oyster.net.ck

Mrs Tania Avare  
Nutrition Officer  
Public Health Department  
Ministry of Health  
Fax: (+682) 29100  
Tel: (+682) 29110  
E-mail: ktairea@health.gov.ck

Mrs Jane Taurarii  
Ministry of Education  
Fax: (682) 25864/25270

Ms Diane Charlie  
Policy Planner  
Office of the Prime Minister  
National Policy Coordinator Unit (NPCU)  
Private Mail Bag  
Avarua  
Rarotonga, Cook Islands  
Tel: (682) 25494 or 29401  
Fax: (682) 23792  
Email: dcharlie@pmoffice.gov.ck

**Fiji**

Ms Sneh CHAND  
Manager  
National Food and Nutrition Centre  
Government Buildings  
Suva  
Tel: (679) 3313055  
Fax: (679) 3303921  
Email: schand@healthfiji.gov.fj

Ms Salote Dugu  
Principle Education Officer  
Technical Vocational Ed. & Training  
Top Floor, Quality House  
Gorrie Street, Suva  
Fax: (+679) 3303511  
Tel: (+679) 3314477/3220541  
E-mail: sdugu@govnet.gov.fj
Ms A. Nisha KHAN
Chief Dietitian/Nutritionist
Ministry of Health
88 Amy St, Toorak
PO Box 2223
Government Buildings
Suva
Fax: (+679) 3306 163
Tel: (+679) 3306 177 – Direct 3221 495
Email: nkhan@health.gov.fj

Kamalesh P. Lakhan
A/Senior Economic Planning Officer
Ministry of Agriculture, Sugar and Land Development
Raiwaqa
Suva
Email: Kamaleshn@govnet.gov.fj

Mr Permal Deo
Lecturer in Food Science
School of Pure and Applied Sciences
University of the South Pacific
Laucala Campus, Fiji Islands
Tel: (679) 3212296, 3212265
Fax: 679 3303413; Mobile: 9935533
Email: Deo_P@usp.ac.fj

Samoa

Ms Fa’amoemoe Sotiata
Coordinator for Food & Textile Technology
Ministry of Education Sports and Culture
P.O. Box  1869
Fax: (+685) 2004
Tel: (+685) 24614
E-mail: moemoesoti@yahoo.com

Mr Pueata Tanielu
Policy Officer
Ministry of agriculture, forests, fisheries & meteorology
Tel: (+685) 22561 ext 105
E-mail: ptanielu2002@yahoo.com.au

Mrs Christine Quested
Nutrition Section
Ministry of Health
Private Mail Bag, Apia, Samoa
Fax: (+685) 21440
Tel: (+685) 21212 ext 223
E-mail: c_quesed@hotmail.com
christineq@health.gov.ws
Mr Henry Levasa  
Training Officer  
Ministry of Women, Community and Social Development  
Tel: 22421  
Email: h_levasa@yahoo.com

Tuvalu

Ms Etita Morikao  
Economic Adviser, ERPD  
Ministry of Finance, Funafuti  
Tuvalu  
Fax: (+688) 20230  
Tel: (+688) 20231  
E-mail: esi_mori@yahoo.com

Ms Valisi Tovia  
Senior Education Adviser  
Department of Education  
Private Mail Bag  
Funafuti  
Tuvalu  
Tel: (688) 20413/688) 20414  
Fax: (688) 20413/ (688) 20324  
Email: seoae@tuvalu.tv/efltaesp@tuvalu.tv

Mrs Fofoa Gulugulu  
Hospital Nutritionist  
Fax: (+688) 20480  
Tel: (+688) 20481  
E-mail: minhealth@tuvalu.tv or fofoarusia@yahoo.com

Mr Itaia Lausaveve  
Director of Agriculture  
Ministry of Natural Resources and Lands  
Vaiaku, Funafuti  
Tuvalu  
Fax: (+688) 20826  
Tel: (+688) 20836  
E-mail: ilausaveve@yahoo.com

Vanuatu

Mr. Jerry Iaruel  
Dietician & NCD  
Health Education Department, Luganville  
P M B 06  
Northern District Hospital  
Santo  
Tel: (678) 36345  
Fax: (678) 36213  
Email: kkarai@vanuatu.gov.vu
Ms. Annick Stevens
Food Security Officer
Agriculture Department
PMB 9040
Port Vila
Tel: (678) 22525
Fax: (678) 25265

Mr. Viran Tovu
Acting Manager
Environmental Health Unit
Public Health - Ministry of Health
PMB 9009, Port-Vila
Tel: (678) 22512
Fax: (678) 27451
Email: vtovu@vanuatu.gov.vu

SECRETARIAT OF THE PACIFIC COMMUNITY (SPC)
Ms. Jimaima Tunidau SCHULTZ
Lifestyle Health Advisor
Secretariat of the Pacific Community
B.P.D5 98848 Noumea Cedex
New Caledonia
Tel: (+687) 262 000
Fax: (+687) 263 818
Email: jimaimas@spc.int

Ms. Wendy SNOWDON
Nutrition Education & Training Officer
Secretariat of the Pacific Community
B.P.D5
98848 Noumea Cedex
New Caledonia
Tel: (+687) 262 000
Fax: (+687) 263 818
Email: wendys@spc.int

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO)
Regional Office for the Western Pacific
Dr Tommaso Cavalli-Sforza
Regional Adviser Nutrition and Food Safety
WHO WPRO
P O Box 2932
Manila 1000
Philippines
Tel: (632) 528 8001
Fax: (632) 521 1036
Email: cavalli-sforza@wpro.who.int
WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO)

Dr Chizuru Nishida
Scientist
Nutrition for Health and Development
World Health Organization
Avenue Appia, 20
CH-1211, Geneva 27
Switzerland
Tel: +41 22 791 3317
Fax: +41 22 791 4156
Email: nishidac@who.int

UNICEF

Dr Rosa Sa’aga Banuve
APO Health & Nutrition Officer

Donna Hoerder
Assistant Communications Officer

UNICEF Suva
3 & 5 Floors, Fiji Development Building
360 Victoria Drive, Suva Fiji
Tel: (679) 3300439/3301918/3301903/3301881
Fax: (679) 3301667

JICA

Dr Midori Ishkawa
JICA expert for food and nutrition policy
JICA Fiji Office
7th Floor, Dominion House
Suva
Fiji
(JICA Private Mail Bag, Suva, Fiji)
Tel: 679-330-2522
Fax: 679-330-2452
Email address: ishikawamidori@gaea.ocn.ne.jp or jicafj@jica.go.jp
## Appendix Two: Agenda

### Day 1: Monday 15 November

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Facilitator/presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:30am</td>
<td><strong>Opening Ceremony</strong>&lt;br&gt;- Welcome prayer</td>
<td>Participant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Opening remarks</strong>&lt;br&gt;- SPC&lt;br&gt;- UNICEF&lt;br&gt;- WHO&lt;br&gt;- JICA</td>
<td>Jimaima Schultz&lt;br&gt;Dr Kyaw-Myint&lt;br&gt;Dr Cavalli-Sforza&lt;br&gt;Dr. Midori Ishikawa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30am</td>
<td>Opening presentation - NPANs, a history, their role and their potential</td>
<td>Chizuru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10am</td>
<td>Morning refreshments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30am</td>
<td>Review of course content, aims and objectives and workshop materials.</td>
<td>Wendy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Administration information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15am</td>
<td>Introductions (Activity 1.1)</td>
<td>Jimaima</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12pm</td>
<td>Importance of food and nutrition for health (Activity 1.2)</td>
<td>Chizuru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30pm</td>
<td>Lunch break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30pm</td>
<td>Activity 1.2 continued</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2pm</td>
<td>Food news (Activity 1.3)</td>
<td>Jimaima</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30pm</td>
<td>Understanding food supply chain (Activity 1.4)</td>
<td>Wendy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:30pm</td>
<td>Refreshment break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:45pm</td>
<td>Influences on food supply (Activity 1.5)</td>
<td>Tommaso</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30pm</td>
<td>Closing, and wrap up of day.</td>
<td>Wendy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Day 2: Tuesday 16 November

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Facilitator/presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:30am</td>
<td>What is food and nutrition policy (Activity 2.1)</td>
<td>Chizuru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30am</td>
<td>Understanding ICN commitment (Activity 2.2)</td>
<td>Wendy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00am</td>
<td>Morning refreshments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30am</td>
<td>Activity 2.2 continued</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11am</td>
<td>Country presentation - Fiji</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30am</td>
<td>Linking NCD plans with nutrition plans (activity 3.1)</td>
<td>Jimaima</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00pm</td>
<td>Assessing existing nutrition plans and policies (Activity 3.2)</td>
<td>Participant presentations (Chizuru and Wendy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30pm</td>
<td>Lunch break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30pm</td>
<td>Continued</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Cook Islands</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Tuvalu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Vanuatu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00pm</td>
<td>Refreshment break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:15pm</td>
<td>Identifying relevant Govt policies (Activity 3.3)</td>
<td>Tommaso</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:15pm</td>
<td>Closing, and wrap up of day.</td>
<td>Wendy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Day 3: Wednesday 17 November

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Facilitator/presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:30am</td>
<td>Elements and barriers for success (Activity 3.4)</td>
<td>Wendy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30am</td>
<td>Relating emerging issues with existing plans and policies (Activity 3.5)</td>
<td>Rosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00am</td>
<td>Morning refreshments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30am</td>
<td>Continued</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15am</td>
<td>Advocacy overview (Activity 4.1)</td>
<td>Donna - UNICEF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30pm</td>
<td>Lunch break</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 1:30pm | Information systems (Activity 4.2)                                    | SPC's systems - Wendy, MOANA & STEPS - Elaine Chung & Tommaso Wendy Snowdon (on behalf FAO)
|        | - Other regional data systems                                         |                                                            |
|        | - FIVIMS                                                              |                                                            |
| 3pm    | Profiles (Activity 4.3)                                               | Tommaso                                                    |
| 3:15pm | Refreshment break                                                    |                                                            |
| 3:30pm | Discovering possible partners (Activity 5.1)                          | Jimaima                                                    |
| 4:15pm | Closing, and wrap up of day.                                         | Wendy                                                      |
| 4:30pm | Finish                                                                |                                                            |
## Day 4: Thursday 18th November

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Facilitator/presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:30am</td>
<td>Reviewing past experiences of partnerships (Activity 5.2) Wendy &amp; Jimaima</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00am</td>
<td>Determining which partners (Activity 5.3) Jimaima and Tommaso</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00am</td>
<td>Morning refreshments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:15am</td>
<td>Reviewing current NPAN (Activity 6.1 - profiles) Chizuru</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11pm</td>
<td>Develop an operational plan (Activity 6.2) Wendy and Jimaima</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12pm</td>
<td>Implement food and nutrition plans (Activity 6.3) Lunch break Wendy and Jimaima</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30pm</td>
<td>Report back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00pm</td>
<td>Refreshment break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:15pm</td>
<td>Continued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30pm</td>
<td>Closing, and wrap up of day.        Wendy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Day 5: Friday 19th November

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Facilitator/presenter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:30am</td>
<td>Action plans (Activity 6.4)         Jimaima</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10am</td>
<td>Morning refreshments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30am</td>
<td>Evaluation (Activity 7.1)           Tommaso</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:15pm</td>
<td>Closing comments                    Wendy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Closing remarks and thanks          Certificates given out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- SPC                               Senior DDG/OIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- WHO                               WHO representative or Dr Cavalli-Sforza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- JICA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Closing prayer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30pm</td>
<td>Closing refreshments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Facilitators:**
- Chizuru Nishida
- Jimaima Schultz
- Tommaso Cavalli-Sforza
- Wendy Snowdon
- Rosa Sa'aga Banuve
- Donna Hoerder
- Midori Ishikawa
Appendix Three: Summary of participant evaluations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q1. Time allotted to workshop</th>
<th>Too short</th>
<th>About right</th>
<th>Too long</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
- Adequate time was allocated to all sessions
- Need more time for good discussions and to develop national plans
- Although many of discussion were cut short, overall workshop length is good
- Only some of activities could have done with more time
- Timing of workshop would be better beginning of year
- Workshop well planned within time schedule.
- Most important issues raised were all well clarified, justified and presented

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q2. Was content relevant to your work</th>
<th>Extremely</th>
<th>Slightly</th>
<th>Not very</th>
<th>Not at all</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
- Work at the provincial level but need to be involved in plans and policy making at national level
- Nothing to do with my work area...however learned a lot...and issues discussed can be related to my work
- Very useful and informative
- It would really help me with my work
- Now I know that MOE is a major player and definitely needs to improve relationship with MOH. The need to promote partnership is a feature that has come out strongly.
- Good to have new ideas from other countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q3. Will your participation help make a contribution to the development or strengthening and implementation of NPAN and policies</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Maybe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
- I am really looking forward to be one of the fore runners to contribute to the development of food and nutrition plans and their implementation
- It has really helped me out
- Very much, and really giving me an idea and encouragement to move forward

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q4. The quality of the training</th>
<th>Very high</th>
<th>Fairly high</th>
<th>Fairly low</th>
<th>Very low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5 Do you feel that the aims and objectives were met</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
- I am really looking forward to be one of the fore runners to contribute to the development of food and nutrition plans and their implementation
- It has really helped me out
- Very much, and really giving me an idea and encouragement to move forward
- Good to see, hear and learn from other participants
- We gain lots of skills to promote nutrition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q6 What sessions did you find most useful?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Going through process of doing NPAN. Preparing NPAN. Relating to other countries. (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocacy (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information systems (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All sessions were linked to one another - therefore all equally interesting and important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing action plans and discussing (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharing ideas on NPANs from each country</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q7 What sessions were not that useful?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All useful, even if they were things I already knew - useful reminders, and always something new to learn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None (16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q8 What changes would you recommend we make to the workshop for next time?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More background work to be done in country before workshop, so time not wasted during workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocate time for participants to view role models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More activities and less presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session where we actually go through process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host workshop in different countries each year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More time making action plans and group work (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide information package in advance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tool to monitor implementation NPAN should be provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up workshop needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visit to a food company (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical exercise on how to develop an advocacy plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide countries with outline of presentation style they are expected to use for their country report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Include papers given by all resource people in the file</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other comments

- Microphones would have been good - as difficult to hear some people (2)
- Everyone to be on time
- Venue, accommodation and food very nice. Everything was well organised.
- Workshop was 100% OK
- Venue so far out, that those staying outside late - so should have more central venue
- Include field trips
- Everyone should be encouraged to do some presentation - some people didn't take their turn from their group.
- Include more group activity
- More details of course to be sent to participants in advance
- Speakers should spend more time in presenting their subject